



INFLUENCE OF ORGANIC AMENDMENTS ON MAIZE PRODUCTIVITY

Iftikhar shah¹, Muhammad Hamzeh, ²Qasid Hussain,³ Samiullah,⁴ Dr. Shazma Anwar⁵

¹⁻ MSc, Department of Agronomy, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan, Email: <u>iftishah01@gmail.com</u> (Corresponding Author)
 ²⁻ Assistant Scientific Officer, PARC-Agricultural Research Institute, Jaffarabad, Pakistan. Email: <u>hamzehkhan395@gmail.com</u>

²⁴ Assistant Scientific Officer, PARC-Agricultural Research Institute, Jaffarabad, Pakistan, Email: <u>namzenkna</u>.
 ³⁵ Scientific Officer, PARC-Agricultural Research Institute, Jaffarabad, Pakistan, Email: <u>qasidh@gmail.com</u>

Scientific Officer, PARC-Agricultural Research Institute, Jaffarabad, Pakistan. Email: <u>gastan@gmail.con</u>
 MC-Cala-lan Danastrasta (A supersona The University of A supersona Data supersona Da

⁴ MSc Scholar, Department of Agronomy, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan, Email: <u>Samikhan9yz@aup.edu.pk</u>

5- Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan, Email: anwar.shazma@gmail.com

Abstract

A field study was conducted at Agronomy Research Farm, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar in the summer 2023 to evaluate the response of biochar, farmyard manure and NPK application on maize yield. The field trial was conducted in randomized complete block design with 3 replications. Plot size of 3 m x 4.5 m with a plant-to-plant distance of 25 cm and row to row distance of 75 cm was maintained. Three levels of biochar (0, 5 and 10 t ha-1), three levels of farmyard manure (0, 4 and 8 t ha-1) and two levels of NPK (0% and 50% (N: 75 kg, P: 60 kg, and K: 45 kg ha-1) of the recommended dose) were studied on maize hybrid CS-200. Biochar and farmyard manure were applied to the soil 20 days before sowing the crop. Experimental results revealed that the effect of biochar, farmyard manure and NPK application on the duration of emergence, emergence m⁻², number of plants at harvest (ha-1) and number ears m-2 of maize was non-significant. Biochar application at the rate of 10 t ha-1 to soil significantly enhanced plant height (194 cm), numbers of grains ear-1 (403), thousand grains weight (355 g), biological yield (12260 kg ha-1), grain yield (4013 kg ha-1), harvest index (33 %) and soil pH (7.66) with delayed days to tasseling (56), days to silking (64) and days to physiological maturity (104). Farmyard manure application at the rate of 8 t ha⁻¹ to soil significantly increased plant height (193 cm), numbers of grains ear-1 (400), thousand grains weight (352 g), biological yield (12187 kg ha-1), grain yield (3943 kg ha-1), harvest index (32 %), soil pH (7.62) and soil electric conductivity (1.19 dS m⁻¹) with delayed days to tasseling (56), days to silking (64) and days to physiological maturity (104). NPK application at the rate of 50 % of the recommended dose maintained maximum plant height (191 cm), numbers of grains ear-1 (402), thousand grains weight (349 g), biological yield (11958 kg ha-1) grain yield (3788 kg ha-1), harvest index (31 %), and soil electric conductivity (1.23 dS m-1) with delayed days to tasseling (55), days to silking (63) and days to physiological maturity (103). It is concluded that maize crop with the application of biochar at 10 t ha-1, farmyard manure at 8 t ha-1 and NPK 50% (N: 75 kg, P: 60 kg, and K: 45 kg ha-1) of the recommended dose performed better and thus recommended for higher seed yield and productivity.

Keywords: Agronomy, Farmyard, Biochar, Maize Crop, Physiological

DOI:		https://zenodo.org/records/14270234	
Journal Li	nk:	https://jai.bwo-researches.com/index.php/jwr/index	
Paper Linl	k:	https://jai.bwo-researches.com/index.php/jwr/article/view/66	
Publicatio	n Process	Received: 8 Jul 2024/ Revised: 18 Sep 2024/ Accepted: 23 Sep 2024/ Published: 03 Oct 2024	
ISSN:		Online [3007-0929], Print [3007-0910]	
Copyright	•	© 2024 by the first author. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).	
Indexing:			
Publisher:		BWO Research International (15162394 Canada Inc.) https://www.bwo-researches.com	

Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) ranks as the third most important cereal crop after wheat and rice (Nemati et al., 2012). Maize is a cross pollinated and major cereal grown throughout the world (Ramzani et al., 2017). This annual plant reproduces through cross-pollination. Maize is used for human food, animal feed and raw material for industries. Globally it is a staple food and in certain countries it makes up almost one-third of the total amount of calories and protein consumed (Chulze et al., 2010). In addition to having a shorter growing season and the ability to use inputs more effectively, maize has the potential to produce higher number of grains per unit area. It can be grown in spring and summer twice a year with success. Maize has high nutritional value than other crops and has around 72% starch, 10% proteins, 4.8% oil, 8.5% fiber, 3% sugar and 1.7% ash (Chaudhary et al., 1993). The overall production of maize in Pakistan was about 5.7 million tons from an area of 1,229 thousand hectares with an average yield of 3990 kg per hectare while in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa maize was cultivated on 474 thousand hectares with the production of about 0.867 million tons and average yield was 1868 kg per hectare (Ministry of national food & security, 2018).

The conversion of organic waste into biochar for application on soil is an environment friendly management technique (Gonzaga et al., 2018) The outcome of heating biomass, wood, dung, and leaves in a closed container with little to no air is biochar a carbon-rich material that appears to be a more stable carbon source that can remain in the soil for hundreds or even thousands of years (Lehmann et al., 2006) When used as a soil supplement, biochar can increase plant growth and fertility, enhance carbon

help sequestration, with waste management and immobilize substances. Biochar contains a high content of organic materials and a large surface area. Therefore, by enhancing soil quality, it provides a suitable home for soil microbes and increases soil biota (Lehmann et al., 2011). The use of biochar in low fertile soil is a useful technique to improve soil carbon, soil health and crop productivity (Glaser et al., 2002). Biochar characteristic has attained an increasing interest to reclaim nutrients poor soils (Khoshgoftarmanesh et al., 2011).

Organic fertilizers, such as farmyard manure, sheep waste and poultry manure can be utilized to replace chemical fertilizers in crop production since the value of organic manures cannot be overstated. Due to soil fertility loss, there is an increasing global interest in the usage of organic manures. Ensure sustainable crop production, farmers often use organic fertilizers (Delate and Camberdella, 2004). The benefit of organic fertilizers, applying organic fertilizers for nutrient recycling has emerged as a successful strategy for sustainable agriculture. The impact on crop productivity method improves crop yields by enhancing nutrient utilization within the soil. The current levels of crop production of high yielding varieties cannot be sustained by using FYM alone as substitute for inorganic fertilizer а (Efthimiadou et al., 2010). Thus, the best way to maintain a healthy and sustainably productive soil is to apply both organic inorganic and fertilizers manures simultaneously, a practice known as integrated nutrient management (Dejene et al., 2012). Natural fertilizers promote seed germination and root growth by means of bettering soil water conserving ability, and preserve excellent ventilation. Corn yield vastly elevated through the addition of FYM and treated with conventional fertilizers (Lehmann et al., 2011).

Since mineral nutrients are the main factors that increase crop productivity, nutrient management is crucial for obtaining the potential yield in maize production system (Khoshgoftarmanesh et al., 2011). Implementing efficient nutrient management practices is crucial for both environmental and economic sustainability. Nitrogen, a vital component of proteins, nucleic acids, and chlorophyll, plays a key role in capturing sunlight for photosynthesis (Amanullah et al., 2009). Phosphorus, often the second limiting nutrient in soils, is necessary for growth, sugar and starch utilization, and overall yield potential (Ayub et al., 2002). Finally, potassium significantly impacts enzyme activity, photosynthesis, water regulation, and stress tolerance through its influence on stomata movement, protein synthesis, phloem transport, and nutrient balance (Nemati et al., 2012).

This study investigates the combined effects of NPK fertilizers, farmyard manure, and biochar on maize yield. The primary goal is to discover the best mix of these soil amendments for increasing maize productivity effectively and sustainably. By examining their individual and combined effects, the study hopes to provide practical recommendations for increasing maize production.

This study sought to determine the best mix of biochar, farmyard manure, and NPK fertilizers to optimize corn productivity, driven by the known benefits of these amendments on maize yield.

Materials And Methods

To explore the effect of organic amendments on maize production, the experiment was carried out in the summer of 2023 at the Agronomy Research Farm of the University of Agriculture Peshawar in Pakistan. Three replications of the randomised complete block design (RCBD) were used. The space measured 3 m by 4.5 m, with plants spaced 25 cm apart in six rows separated by 75 cm. 30 kilogrammes of the maize hybrid seed variety CS-200 were planted per hectare.

Treatments1

Applications of biochar range from 0 to 10 t ha⁻¹. 2Farmyard manure (FYM) is applied at three different levels: 0, 4, and 8 t ha⁻¹. 3Two NPK fertilizer dosages were tested: a control (no fertilizer) and half of the recommended dosage (150:120:90 kg ha⁻¹ for N: P:K).

Experimental Procedure

Coal and farmyard manure were added into the soil.

Planting: The maize seeds were sown with the proper spacing to ensure steady development.

Fertilization: Half of the recommended dosage of NPK fertilizers was applied to the designated plots.

Irrigation: With weather-related adjustments, the crop was watered in accordance with the regular schedule.

Weed Control: Weeds were manually plucked to lessen competition.

Data Collection

During growth the stage: Days till emergence, tasseling, silking, and physiological maturity. Quantity of sprouting seeds per square meter the height. plant's After harvest: 1. Amount of greenery per hectare. 2. Number of ears per square meter. Amount of grain in per 3. ear. 4. Weight in grammes (g) or thousand grains.

5. Life (kg yield ha⁻¹). 6. Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹). 7. (%). Harvest index 8. Soil characteristics include pH and electrical conductivity (EC) according to Rhoads (1982) and McLean (1982).

Statistical Analysis

Data were examined using statistical techniques at a 5% probability level, as recommended by Steel and Torrie (1997). This made it possible to accurately assess results the of the treatment. provide In order insights to into sustainable farming techniques, this approach sought fully analytical to evaluate the effects of both organic and inorganic soil amendments on maize growth, yield, and soil health indicators. RESULTS

Days to emergence and Emergence m⁻²

The table 1 shows how biochar, farmyard manure (FYM), and NPK fertilizer levels affect maize output. The data's organization shows how different factors interact and affect the mean yield (t ha⁻¹) overall.

Table 1.Days to emergence of maizeas influenced by biochar, farmyardmanure and NPK application.

manarc	unu 1 11 1 1 up	puca	111011	•	
NPK	Farmyard		Bioch	ar	Mean
Levels	manures	Lev	els(th	a-1)	s
(kg	Levels (t				NPK
ha-1)	ha-1)	0	5	10	x FYM
0					
(control)	0	7	7	6	7
	4	6	6	7	6
	8	6	7	7	7
75 : 60 · 45	0	6	6	6	6
00.45	0	0	0	0	0
	4	6	6	6	6
	8	6	6	7	6
			NPK x	зB	NPK
0		7	6	7	7
60:45		6	6	6	6
		FY	M x B		FYM
	0	7	6	6	6
	4	7	6	7	6
	8	6	7	7	7
Mean					
S		6	6	7	
LSD v	value (P≤0.05)	for	n		
Biochar =	. ,	5	5		
LSD va	lue (P≤0.05) for H	YM	n		
-			-		

International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Cutting-Edge Research 2(4)

n

LSD value (P≤0.05) for NPK

Table 2.Emergence (m-2) of maize asinfluenced by biochar, farmyard manureand NPK application.

NPK Lovels	Farmyard	Low	Biocha	ur >-1)	Mean
Levels	Inanures	Leve	eis(t na	1	FYM
(Kg	Levels (t	0	_	1	x NPK
na-1)	na-1)	0	5	0	
0				_	
(control)	0	6	6	7	6
	4	7	7	6	6
	8	7	6	6	7
75 :					
60:45	0	7	6	7	7
	4	6	6	6	6
	8	7	6	7	7
		1	NPK x	В	NPK
		7	6	6	6
60:45		7	6	6	6
]	FYM x I	3
				FYM	
	0	7	7	7	7
	4	6	6	6	6
	8	7	6	7	6
Mean		7	6	6	

LSD value (P≤0.05) for Biochar n

Ν

n

LSD value (P \leq 0.05) for FYM = s

LSD value (P≤0.05) for NPK = s

Days to tasseling

The data depicted in Table 3 below that application biochar, shows of farmyard manure, and NPK had significantly influenced tasseling in maize. However, there was no significant interaction observed between biochar, farmyard manure, and NPK regarding days to tasseling. Mean values of the data cleared that application of 10 t ha-1 biochar resulted in longer tasseling period (56 days), whereas control plots exhibited shorter period from sowing to tasseling (54 days). With the application of 8 t ha-1 farmyard manure tasseling stage was

delayed (56 days), while no application of farmyard manure to plants led to earlier tasseling stage (53 days). The use of NPK at 50% level prolonged the tasseling period (55 days), whereas no NPK application shortened it to 54 days

Table 3.Days to tasseling of maizeas influenced by biochar, farmyardmanure and NPK application.

NPK Levels	Farmyard manures	Bioch	nar Lev ha-1)	rels(t	Mean FYM x
(kg ha-1)	Levels (t ha-1)	0	5	10	NPK
0 (control)	0	52	53	53	53
	4	54	54	55	54
75 : 60 :	8	55	56	57	56
45	0	53	53	54	53
	4	55	55	56	55
	8	56	57	57	57
		Ν	JPK x I	3	NPK
0 75 · 60 ·		54	55	55	54 b
45		55	55	55	55 a
		FYN	∕I x B		FYM
	0	53	54	56	53 c
	4	53	55	56	55 b
	8	54	56	57	56 a
Mean		54 c	55 b	56 a	

LSD value (P \leq 0.05) for Biochar = 1.0

LSD value (P ≤ 0.05) for FYM = 1.0

LSD value (P≤0.05) for NPK = 1.0

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) using LSD test.

Days to silking

The days to silking in maize were significantly impacted bv biochar, farmyard manure (FYM), and NPK levels, according to the mean values in Table 4. However, there was no statistically significant interaction between these components. The results showed that whereas no biochar treatment caused an earlier silking stage at 62 days, adding 10 t ha⁻¹ of biochar delayed silking to 64 days.

Similarly, when 8 t ha⁻¹ FYM was applied, silking started early at 62 days, but when FYM was not administered, silking took longer, reaching 64 days. It took 63 days for maize treated with 50% of the recommended NPK dose to reach the silking stage in terms of NPK levels, as opposed to 62 days when no NPK was administered.

Table 4Days to silking of maize asinfluenced by biochar, farmyard manureand

	1111	"""	iicut	1011.	
		Bioch	ar Lev	rels(t	
NPK	Farmyard		ha-1)		Mean
Levels	manures				FYM x
(kg ha-1)	Levels (t ha-1)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(control)	0	61	61	62	61
	4	62	62	63	62
	8	63	64	64	64
75 : 60 : 45	0	61	62	63	62
	4	63	64	64	64
	8	64	64	65	64
		N	IPK x I	3	NPK
0		63	62	63	62 b
45		63	63	64	63 a
		FYN	1 x B		FYM
	0	61	63	64	62 c
	4	62	63	64	63 b
	8	62	64	64	64 a
			63	64	
Mean		62 c	b	а	

NPK application

LSD	value	(P≤0.05)	for	
Biocha	ar=	· · · ·		0.49
LSD v	alue (P≤0).05) for FY	M =	0.49
LSD v	alue (P≤0).05) for NI	РК =	0.40

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) using LSD test.

Days to physiological maturity

The number of days until maize reached physiological maturity was significantly impacted by the amounts of biochar, farmyard manure (FYM), and NPK, as

indicated by the mean results in Table 5. elements did not, These however, significantly interact with one another. Biochar Levels: Plots without biochar matured earlier at 102 days, while those treated with 10 t ha⁻¹ biochar took 104 days to attain physiological maturity. FYM Levels: Plots with 8 t ha⁻¹ of FYM applied matured later at 104 days, while plots without any FYM application matured earlier at 102 days. NPK Levels: Maize subjected to 50% of the recommended NPK dose demonstrated delayed physiological maturity at 103 days, but untreated plots reached physiological maturity earlier at 102 days.

Plant height

Table 6 depicts that the height of maize plants was significantly affected by the application of biochar, farmyard manure and NPK while interactions between these factors were not found significant. Mean values of the data illustrated that the taller plants (194 cm) were observed in 10 t ha-1 of biochar applied plots, while the shorter plants (184 cm) were found in control plots. For farmyard manure, the maximum plant height (193 cm) was achieved with an application of 8 t ha-1, whereas the minimum plant height (185 cm), was observed in 0 t ha-1 applied plants. Considering NPK application, the taller plants (191 cm) were recorded with 50% NPK application while the short stature plants (186 cm), were noted when no NPK was applied.

Number of plant at harvest (ha⁻¹)

Table 7 shows the impact of NPK application, biochar, and farmyard manure (FYM) on the number of maize plants at harvest. The results demonstrate that neither biochar, FYM, or NPK levels, nor their interactions, had a significant effect on the number of maize plants at harvest. This suggests that neither of these factors, nor their combinations, affected plant survival or retention during the growth season, and that the ultimate plant population was constant across all treatments.

Table 5	-	Days	to	pł	nysiol	ogical
maturity	of	maize	as	infl	uence	d by
biochar,	farı	nyard	man	ure	and	NPK
applicatio	on.	-				

NPK Levels	Farmyard manures	Biocl	har Levels 1)	s(t ha-	Mean FYM x
(kg ha-1)	Levels (t ha-1)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(control		10			
)	0	0	101	103	102
		10			
	4	1	102	103	102
		10			
	8	2	104	105	104
75 : 60 :		10			
45	0	1	103	104	103
		10			
	4	2	103	103	103
		10			
	8	5	105	105	105
			NPK x B		NPK
		10			
0		3	102	102	102 a
75 : 60 :		10			
45		3	104	103	103 b
		FY	M x B		FYM
		10			
	0	1	102	103	102 c
		10			
	4	2	102	105	103 b
		10			
	8	4	103	105	104 a
		10		104	
Mean		2 c	103 b	а	

LSD value (P≤0.05) for Biochar = 1.22

LSD value (P≤0.05) for FYM = 1.22

LSD value (P≤0.05) for NPK = 1.00

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different at $(P \le 0.05)$ using LSD test

	(<i>(i</i>) <i>i</i> ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	
Table	6.	Plant height	(cm) of maize
as inf	luence	d by biochar,	farmyard
manu	re and	NPK applicat	tion.

NPK	Farmyard	Bioc	har Lev ha-1)	rels(t	Mean
(kg ha ⁻¹)	manures Levels (t ha-1)	0	5	10	FYM X NPK
0 (control)	0	175	179	180	178
	4	183	183	189	185
	8	191	195	201	196

66- Influence of Organic Amendments on Maize Productivity

75:60:					
45	0	176	180	186	181
	4	188	191	194	191
	8	195	198	207	200
]	NPK x I	3	NPK
0		187	184	187	186 b
75 : 60 :					
45		190	189	193	191 a
		FYI	M x B		FYM
	0	176	186	193	185 c
	4	179	187	196	188 b
	8	183	192	204	193 a
		184	187	194	
Mean		с	b	а	

LSD value ($P \le 0.05$) for Biochar = 2.90

LSD value (P≤0.05) for FYM = 2.90

LSD value (P≤0.05) for NPK = 2.37

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different at $(P \le 0.05)$ using LSD test.

Table 7.Number of plants at harvest(ha-1) of maize as influenced by biochar,farmyard manure and NPK application.

NPK	Farmyard	Bioch	ar Level	s(t ha-	Mean
Levels	manures		1)		FYM x
(kg ha-1)	Levels(t ha-1)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(control					
)	0	50000	53571	55158	52910
	4	53174	52381	50000	51851
	8	51190	54762	55158	53703
75 : 60 :	_				
45	0	50000	53968	50396	51455
	4	52777	53571	52380	52909
	8	53174	53571	55158	53968
			NPK x I	3	NPK
0		52777	53836	51851	52821
0 75 : 60 : 45		52777 53703	53836 51190	51851 53439	52821 52777
0 75 : 60 : 45		52777 53703 FYM	53836 51190 x B	51851 53439	52821 52777 FYM
0 75 : 60 : 45	0	52777 53703 FYM 50000	53836 51190 x B 52976	51851 53439 52182	52821 52777 FYM 51719
0 75 : 60 : 45	0 4	52777 53703 FYM 50000 53769	53836 51190 x B 52976 52976	51851 53439 52182 54167	52821 52777 FYM 51719 53637
0 75 : 60 : 45	0 4 8	52777 53703 FYM 50000 53769 52777	53836 51190 x B 52976 52976 51190	51851 53439 52182 54167 55158	52821 52777 FYM 51719 53637 53042
0 75 : 60 : 45	0 4 8	52777 53703 FYM 50000 53769 52777 521	53836 51190 x B 52976 52976 51190 5309	51851 53439 52182 54167 55158 531	52821 52777 FYM 51719 53637 53042
0 75 : 60 : 45 Mean	0 4 8	52777 53703 FYM 50000 53769 52777 521 22	53836 51190 x B 52976 52976 51190 5309 0	51851 53439 52182 54167 55158 531 86	52821 52777 FYM 51719 53637 53042
0 75 : 60 : 45 Mean	0 4 8	52777 53703 FYM 50000 53769 52777 521 22	53836 51190 x B 52976 52976 51190 5309 0	51851 53439 52182 54167 55158 531 86	52821 52777 FYM 51719 53637 53042

s n

s

n

LSD value ($P \le 0.05$) for NPK =

Number of ear m⁻²

Table 8 displays the number of ears per square meter (m⁻²) of maize. The results demonstrate that the application of farmyard manure (FYM), biochar, or NPK levels, nor their interactions, had a significant effect on the number of ears per square meter. This finding suggests that variations in these soil amendments and fertilizer applications did not significantly alter the distribution or growth of maize ears within the experimental plots. Ear numbers were consistent across treatments, indicating that these factors did not significantly impact the maize crop's capacity to reproduce in the given conditions.

Table 8	Number of ears m ⁻² of maize as
influenc	ed by biochar, farmyard manure
and NPF	Kapplication.

		Biochar		ar	
		Levels(t ha-			
NPK	Farmyard		¹)		Mean
Levels	manures			1	FYM x
(kg ha-1)	Levels (t ha-1)	0	5	0	NPK
0					
(control)	0	7	7	8	7
	4	7	7	8	8
	8	7	8	7	7
75 : 60 : 45	0	7	8	7	7
	4	7	8	7	7
	8	7	8	7	7
		Ν	JPK x	В	NPK
0		7	8	7	7
75 : 60 : 45		7	7	7	7
				FYM	x B
				FYN	Λ
	0	7	7	7	7
	4	8	8	8	8
	8	7	8	7	7
Mean		7	8	7	
			n		
I SD value (I	P<0.05) for Biochar =		5		

LSD value (P≤0.05) for Biochar =	s	
	n	
LSD value ($P \le 0.05$) for FYM =	s	

LSD value (P≤0.05) for Biochar =

LSD value (P≤0.05) for FYM =

n

LSD value (P≤0.05) for NPK = **Number of grains ear**⁻¹

Table 9 displays the statistical analysis of different amounts of biochar, how farmyard manure (FYM), and nitrogen (NPK) application affects the number of grains per ear of maize. The results indicated that the quantity of grains per ear was significantly impacted by biochar, FYM, and NPK levels, but not by their interactions. Biochar Levels: The control plots (no biochar) had the lowest grain count (374 grains per ear), while the highest grain count (403 grains per ear) was produced by 10 t ha⁻¹ of biochar. FYM Levels: Untreated plots displayed a lower grain count (376 grains per ear), whereas plots treated with 8 t ha⁻¹ FYM produced more grains per ear (400 grains).

Table 9.Number of grains ears-1 ofmaize as influenced by biochar, farmyardmanure and NPK application.

NPK Levels	Farmyard	Bioc	har Levo ha-1)	els(t	Mean FYM x
(kg ha-1)	Levels (t ha-1)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(control)	0	320	347	353	340
	4	372	375	383	376
	8	386	410	416	404
75 : 60 : 45	0	360	379	389	376
	4	394	405	405	401
	8	422	410	456	429
		1	NPK x B		NPK
0		372	374	374	373 b
45		412	392	403	402 a
		FYN	1 x B		FYM
	0	340	383	404	376 c
	4	363	390	410	388 b
	8	371	394	436	400 a
Mean		374 b	387 ab	403 a	
I SD value	(P<0.05) for Bioc	har			

LSD value ($P \le 0.05$) for Biochar = 13 LSD value ($P \le 0.05$) for FYM = 13 LSD value ($P \le 0.05$) for NPK = 11 Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) using LSD test.

Thousand grains weight (g)

The application of biochar, farmyard manure (FYM), and NPK significantly affected the thousand-grain weight of maize, according to the mean data in Table 10. However, no significant interactions components between these were discovered. Levels of Biochar: The heaviest grains (355 g) were produced by 10 t ha⁻¹ biochar, and the second-heaviest grains (343 g) were produced by 5 t ha⁻¹ biochar. The lightest grains (332 g) were found in plots that did not employ biochar. FYM Levels: 8 t ha⁻¹ FYM was used to achieve the highest thousand-grain weight (352 g), which was followed by 4 t ha⁻¹ FYM (344 g). The control plots (no FYM) had the lowest grain weight (335 g). NPK Levels: The maximum thousand-grain weight (349 g) was found in plots with a 50% NPK treatment.

Table 10.Thousand grains weight (g)of maize as influenced by biochar,farmvard manure and NPK application.

NPK	NPK Farmyard Biochar Levels(t ha ⁻¹)				
(kg ha ⁻¹)	Levels (t ha ⁻¹)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(control	0	281	322	332	312
)	4	225	228	242	228
	4	555	338	342	556
75 · 60 ·	8	362	364	366	364
45	0	330	323	341	331
	4	344	349	352	348
	8	356	366	378	367
		Ν	VPK x B		NPK
0		336	335	342	338 b
75:60: 45		344	348	355	349 a
		FYM >	ĸВ		FYM
	0	305	340	359	335 c
	4	322	344	365	344 b
	8	337	347	372	352 a

	332	34	355	
Mean	с	3 b	а	

LSD value (P≤0.05) for Biochar =	9.56
LSD value (P≤0.05) for FYM =	9.56

LSD value (P≤0.05) for NPK = 7.81

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) using LSD test.

Biological yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Table 11 displays the average biological vield data for maize and shows that biochar, farmyard manure (FYM), and NPK levels had a significant effect on output. However, these characteristics did not interact in a way that was statistically significant. The highest biological yield (12,260 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained from the application of 10 t ha⁻¹ biochar, whereas the lowest yield (11,076 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained from the control plots (no biochar).FYM Levels: The maximum biological output (12,187 kg ha⁻¹) was produced by 8 t ha⁻¹ FYM, while the second-highest yield (11,707 kg ha⁻¹) was produced by 4 t ha⁻¹ FYM. The lowest yield (11,182 kg ha⁻¹) was seen in the plots that did not get FYM application. NPK Levels Plots fertilized with 50% NPK produced the highest biological yield (11,958 kg ha⁻¹). Biological yield (kg ha⁻¹) of Table 11

maize as influenced by biochar, farmyard manure and NPK application.

NP	Farmya	Bioc	s(t ha-1)	Me	
N 11-	ra			· · · /	an
Levels	manures				FI
(kg	Levels				M x
ha-1)	(t ha-1)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(contro					102
1)	0	9563	10119	10922	01
,					115
	4	11150	11401	12142	65
					125
	8	12023	12499	13015	13
75 :					106
60:45	0	9728	10983	11294	69
					121
	4	11904	12068	12375	16
					130
	8	12725	13170	13373	89

International	Iournal of	Δgriculture	Innovations a	and Cutting-	Edge Research	2(4)
incernational	Journaror	Agriculture	initio vaciono a	ma catting	Luge nescure	- 2171

						NP	
				NPK x	В	Κ	
						114	
0			11737	11494	11048	26 b	
75 :	:					119	
60:45			11698	11890	12286	58 a	
			FYM	хB	F	YM	
						111	
		0	9645	11527	12374	82 c	
						117	
		4	10551	11735	12835	07 b	
						121	
		8	11108	12259	13194	87 a	
Me			11076	11740	12260		
an			с	b	а		
LSI	LSD value (P≤0.05) for						
Biocha	Biochar = 483						
LSD value (P≤0.05) for							
FYM = 483							
LSI	LSD value (P≤0.05) for						
NPK =			39	94			

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) using LSD test.

Grains Yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Table 12 presents Mean data, indicating that grain yield of maize was significantly influenced by the application of biochar, farmyard manure, and NPK. However, no significant interactions were observed among these factors. The higher grain yield (4013 kg ha-1) was attained through application of biochar at a rate of 10 t ha⁻¹, followed by (3587 kg ha-1) with 5 t ha-1, while control plots yielded the lower (3275 kg ha-1) seed yield. Application of farmyard manure at 8 t ha-1 resulted in the maximum grain yield (3943 kg ha-1), followed by (3572 kg ha-1) with 4 t ha-1, whereas plots without farmyard manure vielded minimum (3360 kg ha⁻¹) seed yield. Similarly, the higher grain yield (3788 kg ha-1) was obtained with 50% NPK application, while no application of NPK led to the lower yield (3463 kg ha⁻¹).

Table 12Grains yield (kg ha-1) ofmaize as influenced by biochar, farmyardmanure and NPK application.

NPK Lovels	Farmyard	Bioch	ar Level 1)	s(t ha-	Mean EVM v
(kg ha ⁻¹)	Levels (t ha ⁻¹)	0	5	10	NPK
0 (control)	0	2567	2645	3029	2747

66- Influence of Organic Amendments on Maize Productivity

		1			
	4	3178	3330	3760	3423
	8	3888	4121	4647	4219
75 : 60 :					
45	0	2721	3159	3320	3067
	4	3604	3697	4044	3782
	8	4201	4482	4860	4514
		NPK x B			NPK
0		3529	3463	3396	3463 b
75:60: 45		3620	3750	3992	3788 a
		FYM :	FYM x B		FYM
	0	2644	3391	4044	3360 c
	4	2902	3514	4302	3572 b
	8	3175	3902	4754	3943 a
		3275	3587	4013	
Mean		с	b	а	

LSD value (P \leq 0.05) for Biochar = 188 LSD value (P \leq 0.05) for FYM = 188

LSD value (P≤0.05) for NPK = 154

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) using LSD test.

Harvest Index (%)

Statically analyzed data showed that application of biochar, farmyard manure, and NPK positively influenced the harvest index of maize, with no significant interaction (Table 13). The highest harvest index, was recorded (33 %) with the application of 10 t ha-1 of biochar, followed by (31 %) with 5 t ha-1, while unaltered plots had the lower harvest index (29 %). For farmyard manure, the maximum harvest index (32 %), was achieved with an application of 8 t ha-1, followed by (31 %) with 4 t ha-1, whereas plots with no farmyard manure had minimum harvest index (30 %). NPK application at a rate of 50 % resulted in the higher harvest index, (31 %), while control plots had the lowest harvest index (30 %)

Soil pH

Table 14 depicts significant variations in soil pH resulting from the application of biochar and farmyard manure. while nonsignificant variance in soil pH was observed with the application of NPK and all possible interactions between biochar, farmyard manure, and NPK. Statistical analyzed data showed that biochar application increased soil pH (7.66) with 10 t ha⁻¹ compared to untreated plots (7.41). Similarly, the application of 8 t ha⁻¹ of farmyard manure led to higher soil pH (7.62) compared to control plots (7.44).

Soil Electrical Conductivity (dS m⁻¹)

Soil electrical conductivity of maize field was significantly affected with farmyard manure and NPK application (Table 15). However, biochar application and all interactions were calculated nonsignificant. Soil electrical conductivity (EC) was higher (1.19 dS m⁻¹) with the application of 8 t ha⁻¹ of farmyard manure compared to untreated plots (1.17 dS m⁻¹), and the highest EC was recorded in plots treated with 50% NPK. 1.23 dS m⁻¹). Control plots exhibited lower soil EC level (1.13 dS m⁻¹).

Table 13Harvest index (%) of maizeas influenced by biochar, farmyardmanure and NPK application

NPK	Farmyard	Biochar Levels(t ha ⁻¹)			Mean
Levels	manures)			FYM x
(kg ha-1)	Levels (t ha-1)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(control)	0	27	27	28	27
	4	29	29	31	30
	8	32	33	36	34
75 : 60 : 45	0	28	29	29	29
10	0	20			
	4	30	31	33	31
	8	33	34	36	34
		NPK x B		NPK	
0		30	30	31	30 b
75 : 60 : 45		31	31	37	31 2
40		51	51	52	51 a
		FYM x B		FYM	
	0	27	30	33	30 c
	4	28	30	34	31 b
	8	29	32	36	32 a
			31	33	
Mean		29 c	b	а	

66- Influence of Organic Amendments on Maize Productivity

LSD value (P≤0.05) for Biochar =	1.10
LSD value (P≤0.05) for FYM =	1.10
LSD value ($P \le 0.05$) for NPK =	0.90

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) using LSD test.

Table 14.	Soil pH of maize as
influenced	by biochar, farmyard manure
and NPK aj	oplication.

NPK Levels	Farmyard	Biochar Levels(t ha ⁻ 1)			Mean FYM x
(kg ha-1)	Levels (t ha ⁻¹)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(control)	0	7.16	7.25	7.32	7.24
	4	7.34	7.61	7.68	7.54
	8	7.74	7.80	7.88	7.80
75 : 60 :	0	717	7 2 2	7 22	7 07
43	0	7.17	7.52	7.55	1.21
	4	7.50	7.56	7.70	7.59
	8	7.75	7.79	7.82	7.79
	NPK x B		NPK		
0 75 · 60 ·		7.51	7.52	7.56	7.53
45		7.55	7.54	7.56	7.55
		FYM x B			FYM
	0	7.16	7.42	7.75	7.44 c
	4	7.28	7.58	7.80	7.55 b
	8	7.33	7.69	7.85	7.62 a
		7.41		7.66	
Mean		с	7.55 b	а	

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different at $(P \le 0.05)$ using LSD test.

Table 15.Soil electricalconductivity(ds m⁻¹) of maize asinfluenced by biochar, farmyard manureand NPK application.

NPK Lovela	Farmyard	Biochar Levels(t ha ⁻¹)			Mean
(kg ha ⁻¹)	Levels (t ha ⁻¹)	0	5	10	NPK
0					
(control)	0	1.04	1.03	1.02	1.03
	4	1.12	1.15	1.17	1.15
	8	1.21	1.22	1.18	1.20

International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Cutting-Edge Research 2(4)

75:60:					
45	0	1.10	1.15	1.18	1.14
	4	1.23	1.25	1.29	1.26
	8	1.30	1.27	1.29	1.29
			NPK x	NPK	
0		1.12	1.12	1.14	1.13 b
75:60:					
45		1.22	1.22	1.24	1.23 a
		FYM		x B	
		FYN	1		
	0	1.07	1.17	1.25	1.17 c
	4	1.09	1.20	1.24	1.18 b
	8	1.10	1.23	1.24	1.19 a
		1.1		1.2	
Mean		5	1.18	0	

LSD value (P \leq 0.05) for Biochar = LSD value (P \leq 0.05) for FYM = 0.02 LSD value (P \leq 0.05) for NPK = 0.02

Means of same category followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) using LSD test.

Discussion

Our study findings found that application of biochar, farmyard manure, and NPK fertilizer does not significantly influence the time it takes for maize seedlings to emerge (days to emergence) or the density of emerged seedlings per square meter (emergence m⁻²) (Shah et al., 2009). This study finding aligns with previous research by Free et al. (2010) who conducted a study, and similar results with biochar application in spring maize. Similarly, the less significant effect from farmyard manure on emergence is consistent with findings by Sabourifard et al. (2023) who suggest that the slow breakdown of organic manure may not release nutrients during critical stages of maize emergence. Additionally, Singh and Sukul (2019) highlight the potential importance of timing and application rates for farmyard manure to optimize its influence on early emergence and plant density. Our study supports these observations, suggesting that further exploration of application timing and manure composition might be beneficial for future investigations.

NPK doses did not significantly affected days to emergence and emergence m-2 of maize. Our results are inconformity with that of (Kareem et al. 2020) who suggested that seeds were sufficiently nourished by their endosperm reserves, and any additional nutrients provided by the fertilizer did not own to observable differences in the timing or density of seedling emergence. Similar results were also observed by (Otieno et al. 2019) who reported that NPK fertilizers are crucial for supporting plant growth later in the growing season, their role during the initial stages of emergence was less pronounced, especially when seeds have considerable internal nutrient reserves.

Our study revealed that biochar application significantly delayed the time it took for maize plants to reach tasseling, silking, and physiological maturity. This delay can likely be attributed to biochar's ability to improve soil fertility and enhance the availability of essential nutrients. By providing a more sustained nutrient supply, biochar may have prolonged the vegetative growth stage of maize plants, consequently delaying the reproductive stages like tasseling, silking, and maturity. findings are consistent with These observations of (Ali et al. 2017), who also found that biochar application influenced plant growth stages in their study. Farmyard manure significantly also affected days to tasseling, silking and physiological maturity of maize. The possible reason for delayed in tasseling, silking and maturity of maize might be the of timely and balanced availability nutrients helped the plant to enhance its vegetative growth and thus resulted in late tasseling, silking and maturity stages. Our

results are in agreement with those of (Faisal et al. 2015) who reported that tasseling and silking was delayed in those plots where FYM was used at the rate of 20 tons per hectare. (Makinde and Ayoola, 2010) also reported that use of organic manure increased plant growth and caused in delayed phenology.

NPK treatment significantly affected days to tasseling, silking and physiological maturity of maize. Nitrogen often delays reproductive stages due to increased vegetative growth, as observed bv 2018). (Shrestha et al. Conversely, phosphorus and potassium contribute to robust root development and water balance, potentially influencing the timing of tasseling, silking, and maturity (Karkia et al., 2020). Balancing NPK application rates and timing is crucial for optimizing maize yield and reproductive success.

Physiological parameters like plant height of maize were significantly affected different levels bv of biochar incorporation. Taller plant was observed with biochar at the rate of 10 t ha-1 while shorter plants were seen in untreated plots. Our results are in line with that of (Burke et al. 2012) and (Njoku et al. 2016). This height increase may be attributed to biochar ability to enhance cell expansion, as demonstrated by (Viger et al. 2015). (Ahmad et al. 2015), (Lashari et al. 2018) documented significant rise in plant height application biochar with in maize cultivation. Farmyard manure application at the rate of 8 t ha-1 produced taller plants as compared to control plots. The use of farmyard manure significantly enhanced plant height (Ali et al., 2013). FYM is rich in both macro and micronutrients, plays a vital role in promoting plant growth. Its efficacy lies in improving soil organic carbon levels and enhancing soil structure, nutrient availability and uptake (Baca et al., 2022). (Warren et al. 2016) stated that farmyard manure, particularly from pig sources, enriches the soil with essential nutrients, contributing to increased plant development.

The height of maize plants was significantly influenced by NPK fertilization, and is consistent with the findings of (Wajid et al. 2007). The increase in plant height could be due to the stimulation of cell division, elongation, and nucleus formation by elevated nitrogen levels (Islam et al., 2017). Our results are in line with (Prajapati et al. 2018) who reported that application of phosphorus and potassium in NPK fertilizers have contributed to enhanced plant growth through their roles in cellular processes and structural development. (Abayomi et al. 2016) reported that an increase in leaf area with nitrogen application, suggests that the growth promoting effects extend beyond plant height to include foliage development.

our study found no significant effect of biochar, farmyard manure, or NPK application on the number of maize ears per square meter (m-²) or plant population at harvest (plants ha-1), likely due to the initial planting strategy and thinning biochar application practices, did significantly influence yield components. This suggests biochar may have a more pronounced effect on factors like kernel count or grain weight, warranting further investigation into these areas. Plants treated with 10 t ha-1 of biochar produced cobs with a greater number of heavier grains compared to other treatments. This aligns with findings by (Liang et al. 2016), who suggested that biochar's porous nature and large surface area improve soil availability, and moisture nutrient potentially influencing yield components like grain number per ear and thousandgrain weight. Farmyard manure application, particularly at the 8 t ha⁻¹ rate, yielded the highest number of kernels per ear and the heaviest thousand-grain weight. This aligns with research by (Oktem et al. 2020) and (Tasneem et al. 2014) who observed similar positive effects of farmyard manure on these key grain yield components.

The application of NPK resulted in a significant increase in the number of grains ear-1 of maize plants. Similarly, heavier grain weights were observed with foliar application of nitrogen (Rahman et al., 2014). This increase in number grain ear-1 and 1000 grain weight may be attributed to the positive effects of nitrogen on maize growth, increased photosynthesis, and photosynthetic materials transfer of (Aghdam et al., 2014). Application of N and P enhanced thousand grains weight by matter increasing production, dry reducing source limitation, extending the duration and period of grain filling (Rahman et al., 2014).

Our study revealed that biochar application, particularly at a rate of 10 t ha-¹ a significant increased biological yield, grain yield, and harvest index. These findings align with observations by (Sohi et al., 2009) who reported similar yield enhancements with biochar amendments. Biochar's positive impact can likely be attributed to its influence on soil properties. Increased water holding capacity (WHC) and cation exchange improved capacity (CEC) facilitate nutrient uptake by plants and create more favorable conditions for beneficial soil microbes (Sohi et al., 2009). Furthermore, biochar may reduce nutrient leaching while enhancing nitrogen availability, potentially leading increased to photosynthate production and ultimately, greater plant biomass (Steiner et al., 2008).

This translates to a higher harvest index, indicating a more efficient allocation of resources towards grain production. While application farmyard manure also positively impacted biological and grain yield, as observed in studies by Negi and Mahajan (2020) and (Baqa et al., 2021), the effect wasn't as pronounced as with biochar in this experiment. Further investigation is needed to determine the optimal application rates and potential synergistic effects when combining biochar with other amendments like farmyard manure.

Similar to biochar and farmyard manure, NPK fertilizer application yielded a significant increase in both biological yield and grain yield. This aligns with research by (Gholami et al., 2011) who reported similar positive effects of NPK on maize yield. The observed improvements in yield can likely be attributed to NPK's influence on factors crucial for grain filling. These fertilizers provide a readily available source of essential nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, each playing critical roles in various plant growth processes. For example, nitrogen may contribute to a longer grain filling period by promoting cell division and delaying senescence (Islam et al., 2017). Our study suggests that NPK application may have facilitated several positive outcomes, potentially including an extended growing period, increased single leaf area, a higher number of kernels per ear, and ultimately, thousand-grain weight. The combined effect of these factors translates to a significant increase in overall grain yield. Furthermore, the observed increase in harvest index strengthens this connection. A higher harvest index indicates a more efficient allocation of plant biomass towards grain production, suggesting a association between harvest positive

index, individual yield components, and overall grain yield in maize.

Soil pH of maize was significantly affected by biochar application of 10 t ha-1 as compared to untreated plots. Our results are in line with (Xu et al., 2014) who reported that biochar incorporation to soil can increase soil pH and improve soil quality. Improved physico-chemical and properties biological of soils like aggregation of soil colloids, water holding capacity, soil pH and beneficial microbial populations ultimately enhance crop yields. These findings are similar to (Zhang et al., 2016) that biochar have a neutral to slightly alkaline pH, the actual effect on soil pH can depending on factors such as the type and amount of biochar applied, soil type and environmental conditions. Farmyard manure recorded lower soil pH as compared to control plots. Our results are in line with (Masood et al., 2014) who reported that decrease in soil pH could be attributed to the decomposition of organic matter in farmyard manure, which releases acidic compounds into the soil. Such findings are consistent with Iqbal et al. suggested that organic (2020) who amendments like farmyard manure can lead to soil acidification due to the release of organic acids during decomposition.

Significant effect was observed with farmyard manure and NPK application on soil EC. Soil electrical conductivity was notably higher with the application of 8 t ha⁻¹ of farmyard manure compared to untreated plots. Our results are in line with the finding of Ozlu and Kumar, (2018) who documented that FYM contains soluble salts, such as potassium, sodium, and calcium that contributed to the overall conductivity of the soil solution. Geng et al. (2019) reported that decomposition of organic matter in farmyard manure releases soluble ions into the soil solution, further increasing conductivity. Highest soil electrical conductivity was recorded in plots treated with 50% NPK as compared to control. Our results are in line with Phares et al. (2022) who suggested that rise in conductivity by applying NPK fertilizer reflects alterations in soil properties and nutrient dynamics. These findings are similar to Zhang et al. (2022) who reported that soluble ions present in NPK fertilizers contributed to increased soil conductivity by introducing soluble salts into the soil solution.

References

- Abayomi, A., A. Arijenja and L.A. Kolawole. 2016. Comparative leaf growth and grain yield responses of hybrid and open pollinated maize genotypes to nitrogen fertilizers application. Agro Search. 8(1): 13-25.
- Adhikary, B.H., B.R. Baral and J. Shrestha. 2020. Productivity of winter maize as affected by varieties and fertilizer levels. Int J. of App Bio. 4(1): 85-93.
- Agbede, T.M. and A.O. Adekiya. 2020. Influence of biochar on soil physicochemical properties, erosion potential, and maize (*Zea mays L.*) grain yield under sandy soil condition. comm. in Soil Sci. and Pl. Ana. 51(20): 2559-2568.
- Aghdam, S.M., F. Yeganehpoor, B. Kahrariyan and E. Shabani. 2014. Effect of different urea levels on yield and yield components of corn. Int. J. adv. Bio. Bio Med. Res. 2(2): 300-305.
- Ahmad, M.T., H.N. Asghar, M. Saleem, M.Y. Khan and Z.A. Zahir. 2015. Synergistic effect of rhizobia and biochar on growth and physiology of maize. Agro J.107(6): 2327-2334.
- Alam, S. 2018. Impact of biochar and nitrogen application on soil physicochemical attributes at Various growth stages of maize and subsequent wheat crop. Sarhad J. Agri. 34(2): 233-250.
- Ali, K.M., F. Arif, A. Shah, F. Shehzad, I.A. Munsif and A.A Mian. 2017. Improvement in maize (*zea mays L*) growth and quality through integrated use of biochar. Pak. J Bot. 49(1): 85-94.
- Ali, R., S.K. Khalil, S.M. Raza and H. Khan. 2013. Effect of herbicides and row spacing on maize. Pak J. Weed Sci Res. 9(3): 171-178.
- Amanullah, K.B. Marwat, P. Shah, N. Maula and S. Arifullah. 2009. Nitrogen levels and its time of application influence leaf area, height and

biomass of maize planted at low and high density. Pak. J. Bot. 41(2): 761-768.

- Arif, M., T. Shah, M. Ilyas, W. Ahmad, A.A. Mian, M.A. Jadoon and M. Adnan. 2015. Effect of organic manures and their levels on weeds density and maize yield. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 21(4): 517-522.
- Ayub, M., M.A. Nadeem, M.S. Sharar and N. Mahmood. 2002. Response of maize (*Zea mays L.*) fodder to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. Asian J. Plant Sci. (1): 352-354.
- Baca, M.T., F. Fornasier and M. De Nobili. 2022. Mineralization and humification pathways in two composting processes applied to cotton wastes. J. Ferm. and Bioeng. 74(3): 179-184.
- Baqa, S., A.Z. Khan, I. Inamullah, A.A. Khan, S. Anwar, B. Iqbal and A. Usman. 2021. Influence of farm yard manure and phosphorus application on yield and yield components of Wheat. Pure and App. Bio. 4(4): 458-464.
- Buriro, M., A. Oad, T. Nangraj and A.W. Gandahi. 2014. Maize fodder yield and nitrogen uptake as influenced by farm yard manure and nitrogen rates. Euro. Acade. Res. II (9): 11624-11637.
- Burke, J.M., D.E. Longer, D.M. Oosterhuis, E.M. Kawakami and D.A. Loka. 2014. The effect of biochar source on cotton seedling growth and development and association with conventional fertilizers. Int. J. Plant & Soil Sci.3(8): 995-1008.
- Chaudhary, A.R. 1993. Maize in Pakistan. Punjab Agri Coordination Board, Uni. Agri. Faisalabad.
- Chulze, S.N. 2010. Strategies to reduce mycotoxin levels in maize during storage: A review. Foodadditives & Contaminants. Part A,Che, Anal,Cont, Exposure &Riskassessment. 27(5): 651-57.
- Coumaravel, K., R. Santhi and S. Maragatham. 2015. Effect of biochar on yield and nutrient uptake by hybrid maize and on soil fertility. Ind. J. Agri. Res.49(2): 185-188.
- Dejene, M. and M. Lemlem. 2012. Integrated agronomic crop managements to improve the Productivity under terminal drought. In: I. Md. M. Rahman and H. Hasegawa, Eds. Water Stress, Intech Open Sci. 235-254.
- Delate, K. and C. A. Camberdella. 2004. Agroecosystem performance during transition to certified organic grain production. Agron. J. 96(5): 1288-1298.
- Efthimiadou, A., D. Bilalis, A. Karkanis and B. Froud Williams. 2010. Combined organic/Inorganic fertilization enhances soil quality and increased yield, photosynthesis

and sustainability of sweet maize crop. Australian J. Crop Sci. 4(9): 722-729.

- Faisal, S., B. Ahmed, Inamullah, K. Akhtar, S. Ali and I. Ullah. 2015. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on penology of maize varieties. Pure Appl. Biol. 4(3): 434-440.
- Free, H.F., C.R. McGrill and J.S. Hedley. 2010. The effect of biochar on maize (*Zea mays*) germination. New Zealand. Bio. Res. Cen. 53(1): 1-4.
- Geng, Y., G. Cao, L. Wang, and S. Wang. 2019. Effects of equal chemical fertilizer substitutions with organic manure on yield, dry matter, and nitrogen uptake of spring maize and soil nitrogen distribution. PloS one. 14(7): 219-512.
- Gholami, A., S. Akhlaghi, S. Shahsavani and N. Farrokhi. 2011. Effects of urea foliar application on grain yield and quality of winter wheat. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 42(6): 719-727.
- Glaser, B., J. Lehmann and W. Zech. 2002. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal - A Review. Bio and Fertility of Soils. 35(4): 219-30.
- Gonzaga, M.I.S., C. Mackowiak, A.Q. Almeida, J.I.T Carvalho and K.R. Andrade. 2018. Positive and negative effects of biochar from coconut husks, Orange bagasse and pine wood chips on maize (*Zea mays L.*). Growth and Nut. Catena. 162: 414-420.
- Iqbal, M., T. Naz, S. Nawaz, A. Qayyum5Mazhar Iqbal Zafar, M. Iqbal Zafar, O. Farooq, and A. Sarwar. 2020. Impact of farm manure application on maize growth and tissue Pb concentration grown on different textured saline-sodicPb-toxic soils. Asian J. Agri. and Bio, 8(1): 52-60.
- Islam, M.S., H. Akhtar, S. Akhtar, M.J. Miah and M. Farazi. 2017. Effect of foliar and soil application of nitrogen on the growth and yield of wheat. Bang. J. Prog. Agri. 28(4): 287-294.
- Kareem, I., O.S. Taiwo, S.A. Kareem, Y. Oladosu, E.K. Eifediyi, S.Y. Abdulmaliq and K. Olalekan. 2020. Growth and yield of two maize varieties under the influence of plant density and NPK fertilization. J. App. Sci. and Envi. Man. 24(3): 531-536.
- Karkia, M., B.P. Pantha, P. Subedia, A. GCa and R. Regmib. 2020. Effect of different doses of nitrogen on production of spring maize (*Zea mays*) in Gulmi, Nepal. Sust. In Food and Agri. 1(1): 1-5.
- Khoshgoftarmanesh, A.H. and H.R. Eshghizadeh. 2011. Yield response of corn to single and Combined application of cattle manure and

urea. Comm. in Soil Sci. and Plant Ana. 42(10): 1200-1208.

- Lashari, M.S., I.R. Bakht-un-NisaMangan, H. Ji, G. Pan, A.A. Lashari and J. Nan. 2018. Improvement of soil fertility and crop yield through biochar amendment from salt affected soil of central China. J. Agric. Sci. Technol.8, 209.
- Law-Ogbomo, K.E., and J.E. LAW-OGBOMO. 2009. The performance of *Zea mays* as influenced by NPK fertilizer application. NotulaeScientiaBiologicae.1(1): 59-62.
- Lehmann, J., J. Gaunt and M. Rondon. 2006. Biochar sequestration in terrestrial Ecosystems: A Review. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change. 11(2): 403-27.
- Lehmann, J., M.C. Rillig, J. Thies, C.A. Masiello, W.C. Hockaday and D Crowley. 2011. Biochar effects on soil biota - A Review. Soil Biol. and Biochem. 43: 1812-1836.
- Liang, B., J. Lehman, D. Solomon, J. Kinyagi, J. Grossman, B. Oneill, J.O. Skjemstad, J. Theis, F.J. Luiza, J. Peterson and E.G. Neves. 2016. Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. Soil. Sci. Soc. America. J. 70(5): 1719-173
- Major, J., M. Rondon, D. Molina, S.J. Riha and J. Lehmann. 2010. Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar application to a Colombian savanna oxisol. Plant and Soil. 333: 117-128.
- Makinde, E.A. and O.T. Ayoola. 2010. Growth, yield and NPK uptake by maize with complementary organic and inorganic fertilizers. Afric. J Food Agri. Nutr. Develop. 10 (3): 2203-2217
- Masood, S., T. Naz, M.T. Javed, I. Ahmed, H. Ullah and M. Iqbal. 2014. Effect of short-term supply of farmyard manure on maize growth and soil parameters in pot culture. Archives of Agron. and Soil Sci. 60(3): 337-347.
- Melaku, Y., S. Singh and W. Wondimu. 2021. Agrophysiological changes caused by farmyard manure and NPS fertilizer enhance yield and economic return from maize (*Zea mays L.*) cultivation in acidic soil. Trop. Agri.98(1): 28-37.
- Minhas, W.A., M. Hussain, N. Mehboob, A. Nawaz, S. UL-Allah, M.S. Rizwan and Z. Hassan. 2020. Synergetic use of biochar and synthetic nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers to improves maize productivity and nutrient retention in loamy soil. J. Plant Nut. 43(9): 1356-1368.

- MNFSR. 2018. Ministry for National Food Security and Research, Agricultural Statistics, Economic Wing, Gov. of Pakistan, Islamabad.
- Namgay, T., B. Singh and B.P. Singh. 2010. Influence of biochar application to Soil on the availability of As, Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn to maize (*Zea mays L.*). Soil Res. 48(7): 638-647.
- Negi, S.C., and G. Mahajan. 2020. Effect of FYM, planting methods and fertilizer levels on rainfed wheat. Crop Res. Hisar. 20(3): 534-536.
- Nemati, A.R. and R.S. Sharifi. 2012. Effect of rates and nitrogen application timing on yield agronomic charactersties and nitrogen use efficiency in corn. J Agri. Crop Sci. 4(9): 534-539.
- Njoku, C., B.N. Uguru and C. Chibuike. 2016. Use of biochar to improve selected soil chemical properties, carbon storage and maize yield in an ultisol in AbakalikiEbonyi state, Nigeria. Int. J. Envt. Agri. Res. 2(1): 15-22.
- Oktem, A.G. and A. Oktem. 2020. Effect of farmyard manure application on yield and some quality characteristics of popcorn (*Zea mays L. evertaSturt*) at the organic farming. J. of Agri. and Ecol. Res. Int., 21(9): 35-42.
- Olowoboko, T.B., O.O. Onasanya, O.T. Salami and J.O. Azeez. 2017. Growth and uptake in maize as influenced by NPK fertilizer in green house experiment. Int. J. of Plant & Soil Sci.17(3): 1-10.
- Omara, P., L. Aula, F.B. Oyebiyi, E.M. Eickhoff, J. Carpenter and W.R. Raun. 2020. Biochar application in combination with inorganic nitrogen improves maize grain yield, nitrogen uptake, and use efficiency in temperate soils. Agron. 10(9): 1241.
- Otieno, H.M. 2019. Growth and yield response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to a wide range of nutrients on ferralsols of western Kenya. World Scientific News. (129): 96-106.
- Ozlu, E. and S. Kumar. 2018. Response of soil organic carbon, pH, electrical conductivity, and water stable aggregates to long-term annual manure and inorganic fertilizer. Soil Sci. Socie. of America J. 82(5): 1243-1251.
- Phares, C.A., E. Amoakwah, A. Danquah, S. Akaba, K.A. Frimpong and T.A. Mensah. 2022. Improved soil physicochemical, biological properties and net income following the application of inorganic NPK fertilizer and biochar for maize production. ActaEcologicaSinica. 42(4): 289-295.
- Prajapati, V.K., N. Swaroop, A. Masih and R. Lakra. 2018. Effect of different dose of NPK and vermicompost on growth and yield attributes of

maize *Zea Mays* (L.) Cv. MM2255. J. of Pharma. and Phyto. 7(1): 2830-2832.

- Radma, M. and I. Dagash. 2013. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorous fertilizers on yield and yield components of three cultivars of maize (*Zea Mays L.*). Unive. J. of Agri. Res.1(4): 119-128.
- Rahman, M.Z., M.R. Islam, M.A. Karim and T. Islam. 2014. Response of wheat to foliar application of foliar fertilizer. J. ZilhetAgril. Univ. 1(1): 39-43.
- Ramzani, P.M., A.M. Khalid, S. Anjum, W.D. Khan, M. Iqbal and S. Kausar. 2017. Improving Iron bioavailability and nutritional value of maize (*Zea Mays L.*) In Sulfur-treated Calcareous soil. Archives of Agron. and Soil Sci. 63(9): 1255-66.
- Roy, T.S., M.T. Rahman, R. Chakraborty, M. Mostofa and M.S. Rahman. 2019. Effect of biochar application as a soil amendment on growth and yield of sesame. Bangla. Agron. J. 22(2): 113-127.
- Sabourifard, H., A. Estakhr, M. Bagheri, S.J Hosseini and H. Keshavarz. 2023. The quality and quantity response of maize (*Zea mays L.*) yield to planting date and fertilizers management. Food Chem. Adv, 2, 100196.
- Shah, S.A., S.M. Shahab, W. Mohammad, M. Shafid and H. Nawaz. 2009. N uptake and yield of wheat as influenced by integrated use of organic and mineral nitrogen. Int. J. Plant. Prod. 3(3): 45-46.
- Sharma, P.K., V.K. Kalra and U.S. Tiwana. 2016. Effect of farmyard manure and nitrogen levels on growth, quality and fodder yield of summer maize (*Zea mays L.*). Agri. Res. J. 53(3): 355-59.
- Shrestha, J., D.N. Yadav, L. Prasad Amgain. and J. H. A. N. K. A. Prasad Sharma. 2018. Effects of nitrogen and plant density on maize (*Zea mays L.*) phenology and grain yield. Curr. Agri. Res. J. 6(2): 175-182.
- Singh, L. and P. Sukul. 2019. impact of vermicompost, farm yard manure, fly ash and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield attributing characters of maize (*Zea mays l.*). Plant Archives. 19(2): 2193-2200.
- Singh, S., V. Singh. and P. Mishra. 2017. Effect of NPK, boron and zinc on productivity and profitability of late sown kharif maize (*Zea mays L.*) in western Uttar Pradesh, India. Annals of Agri. Res. New Series. 38(3): 310-313.
- Sohi, S., E. Lopez-Capel, E. Krull and R. Bol. 2009. Biochar, climate change and soil: A review to guide future research. CSIROLand and Water Science Report. 5(09): 17-31.
- Steiner, C. 2008. Biochar carbon sequestration. University of Georgia, Biorefining and carbon Cycling Program, Athens, GA, 30602.

- Tasneem, K., T. Mahmood, J. Kamal and A. Masood. 2004. Effect of integrated use of manure and nitrogen on corn productivity. Int. J. Agri. Biol. 6(2): 260-263.
- Uzoma, K., C. Inoue, M. Andry, H. Fujimaki, H. A. Zahoor, and E. Nishihara. 2011. effect of cow Manure biochar on maize productivity under sandy Soil condition. Soil useand Management.27(2): 205-212.
- Vermicomposts improve yields and seeds quality of Lagenariasiceraria in Côte d'Ivoire. Int. J. Agro. and Agri. Res. 8: 26-37.
- Viger, M., R.D. Hancock, F. Miglietta and G. Taylor. 2015. More plant growth but less plant defence, first global gene expression data for plants grown in soil amended with biochar expression data for plants grown in soil amended with biochar. GCB. Bio Energy. 7(4): 658-672.
- Wajid, A., A. Ghaffar, M.M. Maqsood, K. Hussain and W.N. Jatoi. 2007. Yield response of maize hybrids to varying nitrogen rates. Pak. J. Agric. Sci. 44(2): 217-220.
- Wang, X., D. Song, G. Liang, Q. Zhang, C. Ai. and W. Zhou. 2015. Maize biochar addition rate influences soil enzyme activity and microbial community composition in a fluvo-aquicsoil. Applied Soil Ecol.96: 265-272.
- Warren, J.G., S.B. Phillips, G.L. Mullins, D. Keahey and C.J. Penn. 2016. Environmental and production consequences of using alumamended poultry litter as a nutrient source for corn. J. of Envir. Quality. 35: 172-182.
- Xu, G., J. Sun, H. Shao and S.X. Chang. 2014. Biochar had effects on phosphorus sorption and desorption in three soils with differing acidity. Ecolo. Engi. 62: 54-60.
- Yeboah, E., G. Asamoah, B. Kofi and A.A. Abunyewa. 2016. Effect of biochar type and rate of application on maize yield indices and water use efficiency on an Ultisol in Ghana. Energy Procedia. 93: 14-18.
- Zahra, M.B., Z.E.H. Aftab, A. Akhter and M.S. Haider. 2021. Cumulative effect of biochar and compost on nutritional profile of soil and maize productivity. J. Plant Nutr. 44(11): 1664-1676.
- Zhang, H., C. Chen, E.M. Gray, S.E. Boyd, H. Yang and D. Zhang. 2016. Roles of biochar in improving phosphorus availability in soils: A phosphate adsorbent and a source of available phosphorus. Geoderma. 276: 1-6.
- Zhang, Y., C. Xia, X. Zhang, Y. Sha, G. Feng and Q. Gao. 2022. Quantifying the relationships of soil properties and crop growth with yield in a NPK fertilizer application maize field. Comp. and Electro. in Agri. 198: 107011.

Zhao, Y., P. Wang, J. Li, Y. Chen, X. Ying and S. Liu. 2009. The effects of two organic manures on soil properties and crop yields on a temperate calcareous soil under a wheat-maize cropping system. Euro. J. Agro.31(1): 36-42.