



### Development of Biscuit with the Addition of Various Levels of Wheat Bran from Selected Hard Wheat Varieties

Aftab Ali<sup>1</sup>, Tahseen Fatima Miano<sup>2</sup>(Corresponding Author)

- <sup>1,</sup> Post graduate student researcher, Institute of Food Sciences and Technology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Sindh, Pakistan, Email: <u>aftabalijariko04@gmail.com</u>
- <sup>2,</sup> Associate Professor, Institute of Food Sciences and Technology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Email: <u>tahseenfm@yahoo.com</u> ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1370-3114

#### Abstract

The present study was conducted to study the development of biscuits with the addition of various levels of wheat bran from selected hard wheat varieties during the year 2022-23 at the laboratory of the Institute of Food Sciences and Technology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam. This study aimed to analyze the wheat grain quality of selected hard wheat varieties V1: TJ-83 (Tandojam-83-83), V2: Mehran-89, and V3: IV-2 (Imadad variety) collected from the wheat research centre in Tandojam. The whole wheat grains were processed by cleaning and removal of debris and contaminated material. The sample of wheat grains was subjected to chemical analysis by using an Inframatic grain analyser machine. The results were recorded for maximum moisture observed in V2, 10.2%, protein observed in V3, 11.9%, zeleny observed in V3, 46%, starch observed in V1, 72.6%, and hardness observed in V2, 48.3%. The grains of three hard wheat varieties were separately passed through a machine to achieve the maximum % of flour and bran. The result for maximum flour 80% in V1 and bran 23 % was found statistically in V3. The wheat flour and bran of all varieties were used to prepare bran-rich biscuits. The biscuit samples were prepared with the addition of flour 100g, 95g, 90g and 85g and bran % 0 g, 5g, 10g, and 15 g with other ingredients, respectively.

The results regarding physical properties of bran biscuit showed statistically maximum weight observed in T1, 13.8g of all bran biscuit, width observed in T3, 1.4cm of all bran biscuit, and diameter observed 4.9cm, in T3 all bran biscuit. The results regarding sensory properties of hard variety biscuit, maximum colour score 8.2, were observed in V1 T1, taste score 8.1, aroma score 8, texture score 8.3, overall acceptability score 8.4. It is concluded from the study that the TJ-83 contain low protein compared to Mehran-89 and IV-2. It is suitable for biscuit preparation, and also the biscuit of T1 TJ-83: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g wheat bran results better in physical and sensory properties. Results are best for colour score, flavor score, texture score, and taste score for overall acceptability. It is concluded from research that the hard wheat variety TJ-83 is suitable for biscuit preparation compared to Iv-2 and Mehran-89. The bran biscuit prepared with the hard wheat variety TJ-83 is a good source of wheat bran that maintains the health of the consumer. The wheat flour and bran of all varieties were used to prepare bran-rich biscuits. The biscuit samples were prepared with the addition of flour 100g, 95g, 90g and 85g and bran % 0 g, 5g, 10g, and 15 g with other ingredients, respectively. Physical analysis showed that the highest biscuit weight (13.8g) was observed in T1, while the greatest width (1.4 cm) and largest diameter (4.9 cm) were recorded in T3 among all bran biscuits. The results regarding sensory properties of hard variety biscuit, maximum colour score 8.2, were observed in V1 T1, taste score 8.1, aroma score 8, texture score 8.3, and overall acceptability score 8.4. It is concluded from the study that the TJ-83 contains low protein as compared to Mehran-89 and IV-2. It is suitable for biscuit preparation, and also the biscuit of T1 TJ-83: 95g hard wheat variety flour +05g wheat bran gives better results in terms of physical and sensory properties. Results are best for colour score, flavor score, texture score, and taste score for overall acceptability. It is concluded from research that the hard wheat variety TJ-83 is suitable for biscuit preparation compared to Iv-2 and Mehran-89. The bran biscuits prepared with the hard wheat varieties TJ-83 are a good source of wheat bran that maintains the health of consumers.

**Keywords:** Wheat, wheat bran biscuit; dietary fiber; sensory analysis; nutritional quality

DOI:	https://zenodo.org/records/15240565			
Journal Link:	https://jai.bwo-researches.com/index.php/jwr/index			
Paper Link:	https://jai.bwo-researches.com/index.php/jwr/article/view/112			
Publication Process	Received: 7 Mar 2025/ Revised: 21 Mar 2025/ Accepted: 16 Mar 2025/ Published: 17 Apr 2025			
ISSN:	Online [3007-0929], Print [3007-0910]			
Copyright:	© 2024 by the first author. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the			
	Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license ( <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> ).			
Indexing:				
Publisher:         BWO Research International (15162394 Canada Inc.) <a href="https://www.bwo-researches.com">https://www.bwo-researches.com</a>				

#### Introduction

Wheat is one of the most important staples of about two billion people, 36% of the world population. Wheat provides nearly 55% of the carbohydrates and 20% of the food calories consumed globally. Besides being а rich source of carbohydrates, wheat contains protein, essential amino acids except lysine, minerals such as phosphorus, magnesium, iron, copper and zinc and thiamine, riboflavin, niacin and vitamin E (Mladenov et al., 2001). Wheat bran is one of the most important dietary fibre sources used in the baking industry. It is a by-product of the wheat. Wheat bran is a potential source of protein and thereby decreasing the incidence of diverticular diseases. Wheat bran's dietary fibre level is 44%, and its soluble fibre concentration is 2.1%, making it an effective faecal bulking agent. Wheat bran is a good source of water-insoluble fibre, which may be helpful in the prevention and management of several disorders of the intestinal tract. The composition of whole wheat bran is as follows: protein 15%, fat 4.08%, carbohydrate 28.1%, total ash 4.99%, total dietary fibre 45.6%, insoluble fibre 42.8% and 2.8% soluble fibre (Nandeesh et al., 2011). Consumption of high-carbohydrate biscuits is increasing, which contributes to weight gain. It contributes to increase risk of diabetes, heart disease and high cholesterol, here is need to increase the fiber quantity in the diet, by transforming into bran biscuit the increase fiber content level, to overcome health problems such as hypertension, diabetes, and colon cancer, among others (Sudha et al., 2007). The word biscuit, derived from the Latin word Biscotum, means twice-baked. Biscuits are one of the low-cost processed foods, which are most widely consumed. It, amongst many snack items, has certain advantages, such as being cheaper than the

conventional snack items, easy to use at home or even during travel, easily being available in a massive variety of shapes, sizes, tastes, and packs, and appeals to all age groups. Apart from the good taste, these foods with substantial energy, having wholesome and nutritious qualities, are available at a reasonable price (Alam et al., 2015). They have a good shelf life at ambient temperature. Besides being a very palatable vehicle of nutrition and energy, these biscuits convey the goodness of flour, fat and sugar in most acceptable and economical terms ( Ahmad et al., 2015). Consumption of biscuits and bread appears in the list of top ten daily consumed foods, they are easily available and and convenient to be enjoyed as a snack (Jauharah et al., 2014). Attempts were being made in recent days to improve the nutritional qualities and functionalities of cookies, due to competition in the market for healthier, natural and functional products (Masoodi, L. & Bashir, 2012). Biscuit requires a balanced nutritional value, which can be enhanced bv fortification and supplementation with a wide variety of protein-rich cereals and pulses (Jauharah et al., 2014).

A baked food item made with flour is called a biscuit. Most countries have a hard, flat, unleavened biscuit as the norm. They can be created with sugar, chocolate, frosting, jam, ginger, or cinnamon and are often sweet. They can also have a salty flavour and resemble crackers. Sandwich biscuit, digestive biscuit, ginger biscuit, shortbread biscuit, chocolate chip cookies, marshmallow delights wrapped in chocolate, Anzac biscuit, biscotti, and specula are some of the several biscuit varieties. Most hard, sweet biscuits in North America are referred to as "cookies," whereas a soft, leavened fast bread resembling a scone is referred to as a

"biscuit" see biscuit bread. Biscuits are a prominent, ready-to-eat baked snack among people globally. The association of wheat consumption with such health problems as celiac disease makes it pertinent to utilize composite flour in biscuit manufacture (Kiin & Giami, 2015). Composite flour is desirable in this regard because it improves the nutritional value of food products such as bakery products, especially when blended with legumes such as pigeon pea. Biscuits have been suggested as a better use for composite flour than bread due to their ready-to-eat form, wide consumption, relatively long shelf life, and good eating quality (Ahmed et al., 2019).

#### Materials & Methods Sample collection

Samples of hard wheat verities, namely TJ83 (Tandoajm-83, IV2 (Imdad varity) & Mehran 89 were collected from Wheat Research Center Tandojam, and other ingredient for biscuit preparation e.g butter, egg, salt, sugar, and vanilla flavor were purchased from local market of tandojam and brought at the laboratories of Institute of Food Sciences and Technology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam during, 2022-23.

#### Equipment/apparatus

The equipment or apparatus that were used during this research study are mentioned as under:

#### Analytical balance

An analytical balance (Model number: AAA 2502, Adam) was used for weighing biscuit, sugar, butter, egg, and samples, etc. during the research study.

#### Quadrument junior semolina mill

A Quadrument junior semolina mill was used for grinding of wheat grains and separation of wheat bran from wheat flour for the preparation of biscuits.

#### Bran Duster machine

A bran duster machine was used for the separation of wheat bran from wheat flour for the preparation of biscuit.

#### Hand sieving

Hand sieving was used for the separation of wheat bran from wheat flour for the preparation of biscuits.

#### Veni-re caliper

The venire calliper was used to determine the height in cm and diameter in cm of the biscuit.

#### Electrical weighing machine

The weight g of the biscuit after baking was taken using the electrical weighing machine.

#### Hard wheat varieties: Biscuit Preparation

The grain of wheat was ground, and bran was separated from flour by the Quadrument junior semolina mill and the Bran Duster machine. Ingredients were mixed for the development of the biscuit. Biscuits were developed with the added bran in flour 100g, butter 05g, egg 30g, sugar 35g, salt 0.4g, Baking soda 1g, all ingredients were manually moulded. Dough was sheeted and was cut into different shapes same size, about 5mm thick and 50mm in diameter. Bake the cookies at 180°C for about 15 minutes and let them cool to room temperature.

Table1.Informationregardingingredients used in different hard wheatvarieties of biscuit

Treatment	Wheat flour	Wheat bran	Salt	Baking powder	Butter	Egg	Sugar
T <sub>0</sub>	100g	0g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
T <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	95g	5g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
T <sub>2</sub> V 1	90g	10g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
T <sub>3</sub> V 1	85g	15g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
$T_1 V_2$	95g	5g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
$T_2 V_2$	90g	10g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
T3 V2	85g	15g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
$T_1 V_3$	95g	5g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
T2 V3	90g	10g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g
T3 V 3	85g	15g	0.4g	1g	05g	30g	35g

### Grain quality analysis of hard wheat varieties

The grain quality analysis of wheat grain was performed by subAnalyserthe samples with the Perten Inframatic Grain Analyzer 9200 made in Germany. Inframatic Grain Analyzer. Based on Near Infrared NIR specifically designed for determination of moisture content %, protein content %, zeleny content % and starch content %, with the wavelength 1100-1400 nm. Instruments work in either reflectance or transmittance mode. In both modes measurement recorded how much light is absorbed by the sample. The Inframatic 9200 meets international standards and recommendations such as AACC 2000 method 39-25.

#### Measurement of physical properties of hard wheat varieties for biscuit Height (cm) and Diameter (cm)

Biscuit height cm, Diameter of samples was determined by using a vernier calliper. **Weight of biscuit g** 

The weight g of the biscuit after baking was taken using an electrical weighing machine.

#### Sensory analysis of biscuits prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The sensorial attributes samples, i.e., colour, flavor, texture, aroma and overall acceptability, were determined as per the method as described by O'Mahony (2017. The nine-point hedonic scale was used to determine the sensorial profile of the sample. The 9-point Hedonic scale has score points from 1 to 9. The score reveals desirability of the food products as per the judgment of panellists, like Extremely, Like Very Much, Like Moderately, Like Slightly, Neither Like nor Dislike, Dislike Slightly, Dislike Moderately, i.e., from 9 backwards to 1, respectively.

#### Statistical analysis

The data was subjected to statistical analysis using STATISTICA 8.1 computer software Statix. 2006. Differences between treatments were compared by the LSD Least Significant Difference test., where needed (Dodge, 2008; Klein, 2013; Wheelan, 2014).

#### **Results & Discussions**

Wheat bran is one of the most important fibre sources in the baking industry. It is a by-product of the wheat. Wheat bran is a potential source of protein and thereby decreases the incidence of diverticular diseases (Nandeesh et al., 2011). Biscuit has a good shelf life at ambient temperature. Besides being a very palatable vehicle of nutrition and energy, these biscuits convey the goodness of flour, fat and sugar in most acceptable and economical terms (Ahmad et al., 2019).

#### Moisture (%) of hard wheat grain varieties

The maximum moisture of 10.2% was observed in Mehran-89 wheat variety; however, the minimum moisture of 9.2% was observed in variety TJ-83. And the IV-2 wheat grains' moisture percentage was observed to be 10.1%. These findings are consistent with those of (Cronin, K., & Preis, C., 2000) who found that the highest moisture water content was 11 % and the lowest was 8.7%. Similarly, (Ibidapo et al. 2017) found that maximum moisture ranged from 9 % to 11 %. Varied between 8% to 10%, with the wheat grains having the lowest value presented in Table 2. The differences in moisture contents in wheat varieties might be due to differences in genetic traits, the growing period, timing of harvest, grain harvesting and handling equipment, drying system, storage management practices, and transportation procedures. Although moisture content improvement has a correlation with nutritional significance but high moisture content may cause undesirable darkening in formulated dough, which can exert a negative impact on the quality of end products.

## Table 2 Chemical analysis of hard wheatgrains of different varieties.

Varieties Moisture Protein Starch Hardness Zeleny

Mehran-89	10.2±0.0576	11.9±0.0244	71.7±0.0720	48.6±0.2722	46.0±0.1925
Iv-2	10.1±0.0576	11.0±0.0244	70.6±0.0720	44.0±0.2722	40.0±0.1925
Tandojam- 83	09.2±0.0576	10.4±0.0244	70.5±0.0720	42.6±0.2722	32.0±0.1925

#### Protein (%) of hard wheat grain varieties

The maximum protein 11.9% was observed in IV-2 wheat variety however, the minimum protein 11% was observed in variety TJ-83. And the Mehran-89 wheat grain protein percentage was observed to be 10.4%. These findings are consistent with those of Hasmadi et al. 2014), who found that the highest protein content was 12 % and the lowest was 9.7%. Similarly, (Ghangro et al. 2015) found that maximum protein ranged from 9 % to 11 % presented in Table 2. The differences of protein contents in wheat varieties might be due to differences of genetic traits, the growing period, timing of harvest, grain harvesting and handling equipment, drying system, management practices, storage and transportation procedures Although, protein improvement content has correlation with nutritional significance but high protein content may cause undesirable properties of dough for biscuit which can exert negative impact on quality of biscuit.

#### Starch (%) of hard wheat grain varieties

The maximum starch, 71.7% observed in Mehran-89 wheat variety; however, the minimum starch, 70.5%, was observed in variety TJ-83. And the IV-2 wheat grain starch percentage was observed to be 70.6%. These findings are consistent with those of (Savi, G. D., et al. 2014), who found that the highest starch content was 72% and the lowest was 68 %. Similarly, (Menga, V., et al. 2023) found that maximum starch ranged from 73 % to 70 % varied between with the wheat grains having the lowest value presented in Table 2. The differences in starch content in wheat varieties might be due to different factors, such as soil fertility and temperature constraints. Although starch content i a genetic trait, the growing period, timing of harvest, grain harvesting and handling equipment, drying system, storage management practices, and transportation procedures improvement correlate with nutritional significance, but high starch content may cause diabetes and gastrointestinal diseases.

## Hardness (%) of hard wheat grain varieties

The maximum hardness of 48.6% was observed in the Mehran-89 wheat variety; however, the minimum hardness of 42.6% was observed in the variety TJ-83. And the IV-2 variety wheat grains' hardness percentage was observed to be 44%, presented in Table 2. These findings are consistent with those of (Goel, S et al. 2019), who found that the highest hardness content was 50% and the lowest was 37%. Similarly, (Ghangro et al. 2015) found that maximum hardness ranged from 48% to 36 %. The differences of hardness contents in wheat varieties might be due to differences of genetic traits, the growing period, timing of harvest, grain harvesting and handling equipment, drving system, storage management practices, and transportation procedures Although, hardness content improvement correlates with nutritional significance Increased gluten level through wheat flour, increased the cohesive property of the dough but high hardness content may cause undesirable properties of dough for biscuit which can exert negative impact on quality of biscuit. Zeleny (%) of hard wheat grain varieties

The maximum zeleny 46% was observed in Mehran-89 wheat variety however, the minimum zeleny 42.6% was observed in variety TJ-83. And the IV-2 variety wheat grains zeleny percentage observed was 44% presented in Table 2. These findings are consistent with those of (Goel et al. 2019), who found that the highest zeleny content was 50% and the

lowest was 37%. Similarly, (Ghangro et al. 2015) found that maximum zelenv hardness ranged from 48% to 36 %. The differences in zeleny contents in wheat varieties might be due to differences in genetic traits, the growing period, timing of harvest, grain harvesting and handling drying system, equipment, storage management practices, and transportation procedures. Although zeleny content improvement correlates with nutritional significance, increased gluten level through increased wheat flour the cohesive property of the dough, but high hardness content may cause undesirable properties of the dough for biscuit, which can exert a negative impact on the quality of biscuit.

Weight (g) of biscuit prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The weight 14g observed in the biscuit with T1V1: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran, T1V2: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran and T1V3: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed same weight 13.8. The biscuit with T2V1: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran, T2V2: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran and T2V3: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed the same weight of 13.6. The biscuit with T1V1: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran, T3V2: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran and T3V3: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed same weight 13.4 results presented in table 3. These findings are consistent with those of (Nandeesh, K et al. 2011) who found that the greatest starting weight, 10g and the lowest initial weight, 15g, were recorded. (Ertaş, N. 2015). Who reported that the weight of the biscuit before baking ranged from 10.3 g to 14.1 g. The variation may be due to dietary fibre and temperature.

Table 3. Physical analysis of biscuits prepared with the use of hard wheat varieties.

Wheat varieties	Weight (g)	Width	Diameter
Tandojam- 83	13.8±0.0654	1.4±	4.7±0.0446
Mehran-89		1.4±	4.8±0.0446
	13.6±0.0654		
Iv-2	4.7±0.0654	1.4±	7.9±0.0446

Width (cm) of biscuit prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The width of the biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control 100g wheat flour 1.3cm. The biscuit with T<sub>1</sub>V1: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran, T<sub>1</sub>V2: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran and T<sub>1</sub>V3: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed the same width of 1.3 cm. The width of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control 100g wheat flour 1.3cm variety bran showed same width 1.4 cm however, the minimum width 1.4cm in T; 2 95g hard wheat variety flour and 05g wheat bran was observed and lowest initial width 1.3cm These findings are consistent with (Mishra, N., & Chandra, R. 2012) which stated that the highest biscuit width was 1.32 cm and the lowest biscuit width was 1.24cm. According to (Sozer et al. 2014), the maximum biscuit width was 1.35cm and the lowest biscuit width was 1.28 cm, respectively. The variation may be due to hydrophilic fibre added from by-products. However, an increase in the biscuit width might indicate a lack of interaction between the water, fibre and carbohydrate networks in the biscuit.

# Diameter (cm) of biscuit prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The diameter of the biscuit compared to  $T_0$ : control 100g wheat flour 4.7 cm. The

biscuit with  $T_1V1$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran,  $T_1V2$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran and  $T_1V3$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed same diameter 4.7cm presented in table 3. The diameter t of the biscuit compared to  $T_0$ : control 100g wheat flour 4.7 cm. The biscuit with  $T_2V1$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran,  $T_2V2$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 1 g hard wheat variety bran and  $T_2V3$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran showed the same diameter of 4.8 cm.

The diameter of the biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control 100g wheat flour 4.7 cm. The biscuit with T<sub>3</sub>V1: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran,  $T_3V2$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran and T<sub>3</sub>V3: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran showed same diameter 4.9 however, the minimum width 4.7cm in T;2 95g hard wheat variety flour and 05g wheat bran was observed and lowest initial diameter 1.3cm and width. According to (Kamal, T et al. 2015), the maximum biscuit diameter ranged from 3.25-4.90cm. (Ma, F., Lee, Y. Y., Palmquist, D. E., & Baik, B. K. 2019) Revealed that the diameter of the biscuit ranged from 4.00 cm to 4.32 cm. The variation may be due maximum biscuit weight.

## Colour (Score) of biscuit prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The colour score of biscuit compared to  $T_0$ : control, 100g wheat flour, 8.3 colour score. The biscuit color score with  $T_1V1$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum color score 8.2 followed by  $T_1V2$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran 7.9 while minimum color 7.4 was observed in  $T_1V3$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g

hard wheat variety bran. The colour score of biscuit compared to  $T_0$ : control 100g wheat flour 8.3. The biscuit with  $T_2V1$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum color score 7.8 followed by  $T_2V2$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran 7.7 while minimum color score 7.2 was observed in  $T_2V3$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran. The colour score of biscuit compared to  $T_0$ : control 100g wheat flour 8.3.

The biscuit with  $T_3V1$ : 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum color score 7.7 followed by  $T_3V2$ : 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran 7.4 while Minimum color score 6.8 was observed in  $T_3V3$ : 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran was observed. According to (Tiwari, et al. 2019), the maximum biscuit colour score ranged from 6.4 to 8.1 (Gamal A, E.S., et al. 2012) revealed that the colour score of biscuit ranged from 6.2 to 7.7. The variation may be due to bran quantity, baking time and temperature, biscuit colour score.

Table 4 Sensory analysis of biscuitsprepared with the use of hard wheatvarieties

Whea	Color	Aro	Taste	Textu	Overal
t		ma		re	1
variet					accept
ies					ability
Tand	8.2±0.	8.1.±	8.1±0.	8.3±0.	8.4±0.3
ojam-	7654	0.876	0546	0564	452
83		5			
Mehr	7.8±0.	7.8±0.	7.8±0.	7.7±0.	7.9±0.3
an-89	7654	8765	0546	0564	452
Iv-2	7.7±0.	7.5±0.	7.4±0.	7.5±0.	7.6±0.3
	7654	8765	0546	0564	452
•	10				

Aroma (Score) of biscuit prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The aroma score of biscuit compared to  $T_0$ : control, 100g wheat flour, 8.4 aroma

score. The biscuit aroma score with  $T_1V1$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum aroma score 8.1 followed by T<sub>1</sub>V2: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran 7.6 while minimum aroma 7.3 was observed in T<sub>1</sub>V3: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran. The aroma score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control 100g wheat flour 8.4. The biscuit with T<sub>2</sub>V1: 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum c aroma score 7.8 followed by  $T_2V2$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran 7.4 while minimum aroma scores 7.1 was observed in  $T_2V3$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran.

The aroma score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control, 100g wheat flour, 8.4 aroma score.

The biscuit with T<sub>3</sub>V1: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran maximum score showed aroma 7.5 followed by T<sub>3</sub>V2: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran 7.2 while minimum aroma scores 6.6 was observed in T<sub>3</sub>V3: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran was observed. According to Ertaş (Pojić, 2013), the maximum biscuit aroma score ranged from 6.5 to 8.3 (Alam et al., 2014) revealed that the aroma score of biscuit ranged from 6.1 to 7.8. The variation may be due to bran quantity, baking time and temperature.

Taste (Score) of biscuit prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The biscuit taste score with  $T_1V1$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum taste score 8.2 followed by  $T_1V2$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran 7.9 while minimum taste 7.4 was observed in  $T_1V3$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran. The taste score of biscuit compared to  $T_0$ : control 100g wheat flour 8.3 taste score presented in Table 2. The biscuit with  $T_2V1$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum taste score 7.8 followed by  $T_2V2$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran 7.7 while minimum color score 7.2 was observed in  $T_2V3$ : 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran.

The taste score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control, 100g wheat flour, 8.3 taste score. The biscuit with T<sub>3</sub>V1: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum taste score 7.7 followed by T<sub>3</sub>V2: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran 7.4 while minimum taste score 6.8 was observed in  $T_3V3$ : 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran was observed. According to Khalil et al. 2015), the maximum biscuit taste score ranged from 6.23 to 8.35. Alam et al. 2014) revealed that the taste score of biscuits ranged from 6.15 to 8.42. The variation may be due to bran quantity, baking time and temperature.

#### Texture (Score) of biscuit prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The texture score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control, 100g wheat flour, 8.5 texture. The biscuit texture score with  $T_1V1$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum diameter 8.4 followed by  $T_1V2$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran 7.8 while minimum texture 7.4 was observed in T<sub>1</sub>V3: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran presented in table 2. The texture score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control 100g wheat flour 8.5. The biscuit with T<sub>2</sub>V1: 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum texture score 7.9 followed by T<sub>2</sub>V2: 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran

7.5 while minimum texture score 7.2 was observed in T<sub>2</sub>V3: 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran. The texture score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control 100g wheat flour 8.5. The biscuit with T<sub>3</sub>V1: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum texture score 7.6 followed by  $T_3V2$ : 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran 7.3 while minimum texture score 6.7 was observed in  $T_3V3$ : 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran was observed. According to (Gajula et al. 2008), the maximum biscuit texture score ranged from 6.00 to 8.1. Cheung et al. 2019) revealed that the texture score of biscuits ranged from 6.12 to 8.32. The variation may be due to bran quantity, baking time and temperature.

#### Overall acceptability (Score) of biscuits prepared with different treatments of hard wheat varieties.

The overall acceptability score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control, 100g wheat flour, 8.5. The biscuit overall acceptability score with T<sub>1</sub>V1: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum diameter 8.4 followed by T<sub>1</sub>V2: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran 7.8 while minimum overall acceptability 7.5 was observed in  $T_1V3$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran presented in table 2. The overall acceptability score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control, 100g wheat flour, 8.5. The biscuit with T<sub>2</sub>V1: 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum overall acceptability score 7.9 followed by T<sub>2</sub>V2: 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran 7.5 while minimum overall acceptability score 7.2 was observed in T<sub>2</sub>V3: 90g hard wheat variety flour + 10g hard wheat variety bran presented in table 2. The overall acceptability score of biscuit compared to T<sub>0</sub>: control, 100g wheat flour, 8.5. The biscuit with  $T_3V1$ : 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum overall acceptability score 7.6 followed by  $T_3V2$ : 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran 7.3 while minimum overall acceptability score 6.7 was observed in T<sub>3</sub>V3: 85g hard wheat variety flour + 15g hard wheat variety bran was observed. According to (Agrawal, R. 2021), the maximum biscuit texture score ranged from 6.4 to 8.5( Kaur et al. 2012) revealed that the texture score of biscuit ranged from 6.7 to 8.4. The variation may be due to bran quantity, baking time and temperature.

#### Conclusion

It is concluded from the study that the grains of hard wheat varieties have physico-chemical properties. The maximum moisture 10.2%, starch 71.7%, hardness 48.6%, and zeleny 46% were observed in Mehran-89. The maximum protein, 11.9% observed in IV-2. Biscuit was prepared with the addition of wheat bran for sensory and physical analysis. The physical properties were observed same in all treatments. The biscuit prepared with  $T_1V1$ : 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g hard wheat variety bran showed maximum colour score 8.2, aroma score 8.1, taste score 8.2, texture score 8.4. Overall acceptability score 8.4. It is concluded from the study that biscuits prepared with T<sub>1</sub> TJ-83: 95g hard wheat variety flour + 05g wheat bran result better in physical and sensory properties. Results best for colour score, flavor score, texture score, taste score by for overall acceptability have resulted in good for consumption.

#### References

Agrawal, R. S., Shere, P. D., Ghodke, S. V., & Jadhav, V. K. (2021). Development and quality analysis of wheat branenriched herbal cookies. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports, 27(11), 13-24.

- Ahmed, H. A. M., & Ashraf, S. A. (2019). Physicochemical, Textural and Sensory Characteristics of Wheat Flour Biscuit Supplemented with Different Levels of Whey Protein Concentrate. Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science Journal, 73: 761-771.
- Ahmed, M. (2015). Response of spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) quality traits and yield to sowing date. PloS one, 10(4), e0126097.
- Alam, M., Alam, M., Hakim, M., Huq, A.
  O., & Moktadir, S. G. (2014).
  Development of fibre-enriched herbal biscuits: a preliminary study on sensory evaluation and chemical composition. Int J Nutr Food Sci, 3, 246-50.
- Cheung, L., Lai, C., Ganji, V., & Sim, J. (1998). Nutritional value and acceptability of cookies with white wheat fibre, corn fibre and wheat bran. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 98(9), A102.
- Dodge, Y. (2008). The concise encyclopedia of statistics. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Ertaş, N. (2015). Effect of wheat bran stabilization methods on nutritional and physico-mechanical characteristics of cookies. Journal of Food Quality, 38(3), 184-191.
- Gajula, H., Alavi, S., Adhikari, K., & Herald, T. (2008). Precooked branenriched wheat flour using extrusion: Dietary fibre profile and sensory characteristics. Journal of food science, 73(4), S173-S179
- Gamal A, E. S., Salah M, A., & Mutlaq M, A. O. (2012). Nutritional quality of biscuit supplemented with wheat bran and date palm fruits (Phoenix dactylifera L.). Food and Nutrition Sciences, 2012.

- Ghanghro, A. B., Channa, M. J., Sheikh, S. A., & Nizamani, S. M. (2015). Physico-chemical characteristics and rheological properties of different wheat varieties grown in Sindh. Pakistan Journal of Analytical & Environmental Chemistry, 16(2), 8.
- Goel, S., Singh, K., Singh, B., Grewal, S., Dwivedi, N., Alqarawi, A. A., ... & Singh, N. K. (2019). Analysis of genetic control and QTL mapping of essential wheat grain quality traits in a recombinant inbred population. PLoS One, 14(3), e0200669.
- Hasmadi, M., Matanjun, P., Salwa, I., Siti, F., Mansoor, A. H., & Ainnur, S. R. (2014). The effect of seaweed composite flour on the textural properties of dough and bread. Journal of Applied Phycology, 26(2), 1057-1062.
- Ibidapo, P. O., Osibanjo, A. A., Asiru Wahab, B., Kayode, O. F., Ojo, O. E., & Elemo, G. N. (2019). Proximate Composition and **Bread-Making** Performance of Selected Wheat Varieties Grown in Nigeria. Journal of Nigerian Institute of Food Science and Technology www.nifst. org NIFOJ, 36(2), 88-95.
- Jauharah, M. A., Rosli, W. W., & Robert, S. D. (2014). Physicochemical and sensorial evaluation of biscuit and muffin incorporated with young corn powder. Sains malaysiana, 43(1), 45-52.
- Kamal, T. (2015). An investigation on the preparation of containing low caloric biscuits with supplementation of dietary fibre. Journal of Food Processing and Technology, 6, 1-5.
- Kaur, J., Nagi, H. P. S., Sharma, S., & Dar, B.
  N. (2012). Physico-chemical and sensory quality of cereal bran enriched biscuits. Journal of Dairying Foods & Home Sciences, 31(3), 176-183.

- Khalil, A. W., Ali, J., Masood, T., Arif, M., Parvez, M., & Hassan, S. (2015). Effect of oat bran on the quality of enriched high fibre biscuits. World J. Dairy Food Sci, 10, 68-73.
- Kiin-Kabari, D. B., & Giami, S. Y. (2015). Physicochemical properties and in vitro protein digestibility of Non-wheat cookies prepared from plantain flour and bambara groundnut protein concentrate. Journal of food research, 4(2), 78.
- Klein, G., & Dabney, A. (2013). The cartoon introduction to statistics. Hill and Wang, a Division of Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Ma, F., Lee, Y. Y., Palmquist, D. E., & Baik, B. K. (2019). Bran characteristics influence the quality of whole-wheat pancakes and baking powder biscuits. Cereal chemistry, 96(4), 634-646..
- Masoodi, L., & Bashir, V. (2012). Fortification of biscuit with flaxseed: biscuit production and quality evaluation. IOSR J Environ Sci Toxicol Food Technol, 1(2), 06-09.
- Menga, V., Giovanniello, V., Savino, M., Gallo, A., Colecchia, S. A., De Simone, V., ... & Ficco, D. B. M. (2023).
  Comparative Analysis of Qualitative and Bioactive Compounds of Whole and Refined Flours in Durum Wheat Grains with Different Years of Release and Yield Potential. Plants, 12(6), 1350.
- Mishra, N., & Chandra, R. (2012). Development of functional biscuit from soy flour & rice bran. International Journal of Agricultural and Food Science, 2(1), 14-20.
- Mladenov, N., Przulj, N., Hristov, N., Djuric, V., & Milovanovic, M. (2001). Cultivar-by-environment interactions for wheat quality traits in semiarid

International Journal of Agriculture Innovation and Cutting-Edge Research 3(2)

conditions. Cereal Chemistry, 78(3), 363-367.

- Nandeesh, K., Jyotsna, R., & Venkateswara Rao, G. (2011). Effect of differently treated wheat bran on rheology, microstructure and quality characteristics of soft dough biscuits. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 35(2), 179-200.
- Ochoa George, P. A., Eras, J. J. C., Gutierrez, A. S., Hens, L., & Vandecasteele, C. (2010). Residue from sugarcane juice filtration (filter cake): energy use at the sugar factory. Waste and Biomass Valorization, 1, 407-413.
- O'Mahony, M. (2017). Sensory evaluation of food: statistical methods and procedures. Routledge.
- Savi, G. D., Piacentini, K. C., Bittencourt, K.
  O., & Scussel, V. M. (2014). Ozone treatment efficiency on Fusarium graminearum and deoxynivalenol degradation and its effects on whole wheat grains (Triticum aestivum L.) quality and germination. Journal of Stored Products Research, 59, 245-253.
- Sozer, N., Cicerelli, L., Heiniö, R. L., & Poutanen, K. (2014). Effect of wheat bran addition on in vitro starch digestibility, physico-mechanical and sensory properties of biscuits. Journal of Cereal Science, 60(1), 105-113.
- Sudha, M. L., Vetrimani, R., & Leelavathi, K. (2007). Influence of fibre from different cereals on the rheological characteristics of wheat flour dough and on biscuit quality. Food chemistry, 100(4), 1365-1370.
- Tiwari, A., & Mishra, S. (2019). Sensory evaluation of wheat bran biscuits mixed with flaxseed. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports, 6(3), 1-5.
- Wheelan, C. 2014. <u>Naked Statistics</u>. W. W. Norton & Company.